

GUIDO SAVERI (22349)
R. ALEXANDER SAVERI (173102)
GEOFFREY C. RUSHING (126910)
CADIO ZIRPOLI (179108)
SAVERI & SAVERI INC.
111 Pine Street, Suite 1700
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 217-6810
Facsimile: (415) 217-6813
guido@saveri.com
rick@saveri.com

STEVE W. BERMAN (*pro hac vice*)
ANTHONY D. SHAPIRO (*pro hac vice*)
CRAIG R. SPIEGEL (122000)
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 623-7292
Facsimile: (206) 623-0594

FRED TAYLOR ISQUITH (*pro hac vice*)
MARY JANE FAIT (*pro hac vice*)
WOLF, HALDENSTEIN, ADLER,
FREEMAN & HERZ
270 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10016
Telephone: (212) 545-4600
Facsimile: (212) 545-4653

Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE DYNAMIC RANDOM ACCESS
MEMORY (DRAM) ANTITRUST
LITIGATION

MDL Docket No. M-02-1486-PJH

This Document Relates To:

All Direct Purchaser Actions

Date: August 1, 2007
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Judge: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton
Courtroom: 3

FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AS TO

DEFENDANT MOSEL VITELIC CORP.

1 This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any cause why this Court
2 should not approve the settlement with Mosel Vitelic Corp., (“Defendant”) set forth in the Settlement
3 Agreement (“Agreement”), dated March 31, 2007, relating to the above-captioned litigation. The Court,
4 after carefully considering all papers filed and proceedings held herein and otherwise being fully
5 informed in the premises, has determined (1) that the Settlement should be approved, and (2) that there
6 is no just reason for delay of the entry of this final judgment approving this Agreement. Accordingly,
7 the Court directs entry of Judgment which shall constitute a final adjudication of this case on the merits
8 as to the parties to the Agreement. Good cause appearing therefor, it is:

9 **ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:**

10 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, and all actions within
11 this litigation and over the parties to the Agreement, including all members of the Class and the
12 Defendants.

13 2. The definitions of terms set forth in the Agreement are incorporated hereby as though
14 fully set forth in this Judgment.

15 3. The Court hereby finally approves and confirms the settlement set forth in the Agreement
16 and finds that said settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate to the Class pursuant to
17 Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

18 4. The persons/entities identified on Exhibit 5 to the Declaration of Charlene Young filed
19 on October 16, 2006 have timely and validly requested exclusion from the Class and, therefore, are
20 excluded. Such persons/entities are not included in or bound by this Final Judgment. Such
21 persons/entities are not entitled to any recovery for the settlement proceeds obtained through this
22 settlement.

23 5. This Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the Class Action in favor of
24 Defendant, with each party to bear their own costs and attorneys’ fees.

25 6. All persons and entities who are Releasing Persons are hereby barred and enjoined from
26 commencing, prosecuting or continuing, either directly or indirectly, against the Released Persons, in
27 this or any other jurisdiction, any and all claims, causes of action or lawsuits, which they had, have, or in
28 the future may have, arising out of or related to any of the settled Claims as defined in the Agreement.

1 7. The Released Persons are hereby and forever released and discharged with respect to any
2 and all claims or causes of action which the releasing Persons had or have arising out of or related to any
3 of the Settled Claims as defined in the Agreement.

4 8. The notice given to the Class of the settlement set forth in the Agreement and the other
5 matters set forth herein was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual
6 notice to all members of the Class who could be identified through reasonable efforts. Said notice
7 provided due and adequate notice of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the
8 proposed settlement set forth in the Agreement, to all persons entitled to such notice, and said notice
9 fully satisfied the requirements of Rules 23(c)(2) and 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and
10 the requirements of due process.

11 9. There were no timely objections to the settlement. The late purported objection by
12 Edward S. Walter is denied.

13 10. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains
14 continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this settlement and any distribution to Class
15 Members pursuant to further orders of this Court; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund (c) hearing and
16 determining applications by the Representative Plaintiffs for attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, including
17 expert fees and costs, and interest; (d) the Class Action until the final judgment contemplated hereby
18 has become effective and each and every act agreed to be performed by the parties all have been
19 performed pursuant to the Agreement; (e) hearing and ruling on any matters relating to the plan of
20 allocation of settlement proceeds; and (f) all parties to the Class Actions and Releasing Persons for the
21 purpose of enforcing and administering the Agreement and the mutual releases and other documents
22 contemplated by, or executed in connection with the Agreement.

23 11. In the event that the settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of
24 the Agreement, then the judgment shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and in such
25 event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void and the
26 parities shall be returned to their respective positions *ex ante*.

27 ///

28

12. The Court finds, pursuant to Rules 54(a) and (b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that this Final Judgment should be entered and further finds that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Judgment, as a Final Judgment, as to the parties to the Agreement. Accordingly, the Clerk is hereby directed to enter Judgment forthwith.

Date: August 1, 2007.

ram.812.wpd

